United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

v.	ý	G . W	5.1.1 CD 40 D
William Eldridge Askew, III)		Case No.	5:14-CR-23-D
Defendant)		
	DETENTION ORDER I	PENDING TRIAL	
After conducting a detention require that the defendant be detained		form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3	142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Part I—Finding	•	
☐ (1) The defendant is charged with			-
	□ a state or local offense to	hat would have been a f	ederal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed -	that is		
	s defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 erm is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4)or an offense lis	sted in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
□ an offense for which	the maximum sentence is d	eath or life imprisonme	nt.
\Box an offense for which	a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is pre-	scribed in
			.*
	fter the defendant had been C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or co		
☐ any felony that is not	a crime of violence but inv	olves:	
☐ a minor victim			
☐ the possession or	use of a firearm or destruct	tive device or any other	dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to regist	er under 18 U.S.C. § 2250		
☐ (2) The offense described in f federal, state release or local		while the defendant was	on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five	years has elapsed since the	☐ date of conviction	n
from prison for the offens	e described in finding (1).		
	• •		ndition will reasonably assure the has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Fin	dings (A)	
X (1) There is probable cause to	believe that the defendant	has committed an offer	nse
X for which a maximum	prison term of ten years or	r more is prescribed in	21 U.S.C. § 846 .
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 92	4(c).	-	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

X (2)		_	resumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assusafety of the community.
			Alternative Findings (B)
X (1)	There is a serio	us risk that the defen	ndant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serio	us risk that the defen	ndant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
I fü	nd that the testimor		tatement of the Reasons for Detention submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
		a preponderance of	
	mg • videnee —	a proposition or	
	_		proof. The court incorporates by reference its discussion in open r 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g).
		Part III-	—Directions Regarding Detention
a correct pending order of	tions facility separ appeal. The defer United States Cour	rate, to the extent product must be afforded to or on request of an	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement tracticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custoded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. Consult privately with defense counsel. It attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facilities marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	October 21,	2015	1 Deve
_	,		Judge's Signature
			James C. Dever III, Chief United States District Judge
			Name and Title